



Indian Knowledge Systems and Women's Empowerment: A Critical Inquiry into Tradition, Reform, and Social Transformation

Ms. Suhas Pradeep Kamlakar
PhD Research Scholar
Jamia Millia Islamia
Central University New Delhi

Abstract

Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) have often been celebrated as repositories of ancient wisdom, cultural continuity, and moral philosophy. However, a critical examination reveals that many age-old ideas embedded within these systems have historically legitimized gender hierarchy, restricted women's liberty, denied equal rights, and limited access to education. Rooted largely in Brahmanical patriarchy, traditional Indian knowledge framed women as secondary citizens, confining them to domestic, reproductive, and ritual roles. This paper critically analyzes how dominant forms of Indian knowledge contributed to women's social, cultural, and educational subordination. It further examines how modern, egalitarian thought shaped by social reformers such as Ayankali, Periyar E. V. Ramasamy, Jyotirao Phule, Savitri bai Phule, Fatima Sheikh, Tarabai Shinde, Shahu Maharaj, and Dr. B. R. Ambedkar challenged oppressive traditions and redirected Indian knowledge toward a more liberal, rational, and inclusive framework. Using secondary literature and empirical studies on women's discrimination in India, the paper builds a sociological foundation to understand structural gender inequality. Special emphasis is placed on Ambedkar's critique of Hindu social order and his analysis of the cultural mechanisms that institutionalized discrimination against Hindu women. The paper argues that women's empowerment in India is inseparable from the rejection of oppressive traditional knowledge and the adoption of constitutional morality, education, and social justice as guiding principles.

Keywords: Indian Knowledge Systems, Women's Empowerment, Gender Inequality, Social Reform, Ambedkar, Feminism, Education, Caste and Patriarchy

Introduction

The discourse on Indian Knowledge Systems has gained renewed attention in contemporary India, often framed as a revival of ancient cultural pride and intellectual heritage. While acknowledging the richness and diversity of indigenous knowledge traditions, it is equally necessary to subject them to critical scrutiny, particularly from the perspective of gender justice. Historically, dominant strands of Indian knowledge were deeply intertwined with caste hierarchy and patriarchal control, resulting in the systematic marginalization of women. Women in traditional Indian society were not merely excluded from power; they were actively constructed as inferior beings whose social worth depended on obedience, chastity, and service to male authority. Religious texts, customary laws, and cultural practices collectively shaped an epistemology that normalized gender inequality. Education, autonomy, and decision-making were largely denied to women, especially those from lower castes. This paper examines how Indian knowledge, as historically constituted, functioned as an ideological apparatus that curtailed women's liberty and rights. At the same time, it highlights the transformative role played by social reformers who questioned the sanctity of tradition and advocated rationality, equality, and education as tools for emancipation. By situating women's empowerment within broader struggles against caste and patriarchy, the paper underscores the importance of critical knowledge production in building a just society.

Methodology

This paper adopts a qualitative, analytical methodology based on secondary sources. Academic books, journal articles, government reports, and writings of social reformers form the primary data for analysis. Feminist theory, Ambedkarite thought, and sociological perspectives on caste and patriarchy provide the conceptual framework. Empirical studies on women's discrimination are used to contextualize historical arguments within contemporary realities.

Indian Knowledge Systems and the Construction of Gender Hierarchy

Traditional Indian Knowledge Systems were largely shaped by religious, philosophical, and legal texts such as the *Manusmriti*, *Dharmashastras*, *Smritis*, and Puranic literature. These texts did not merely reflect social practices but actively prescribed and regulated them by defining rigid gender roles and hierarchical relationships. Within this epistemic framework, women were conceptualized as



inherently dependent beings whose identity and social worth were determined through their relationship with male authority. The normative injunction that a woman must remain under the control of her father in childhood, her husband in adulthood and her son in old age reflects the foundational logic of Brahmanical patriarchy, where women's independence was viewed as a threat to social order.

Uma Chakravarti conceptualizes this system as “**Brahmanical patriarchy**,” a structure in which caste hierarchy and gender control operate together to maintain social dominance (Chakravarti, 2003). According to Chakravarti, the regulation of women's sexuality was central to the reproduction of caste, making women's bodies a crucial site for enforcing social boundaries. Traditional Indian knowledge framed women as morally vulnerable and intellectually inferior, thereby legitimizing their exclusion from authority, learning, and public life. This construction of womanhood was not natural but ideological, designed to sustain male dominance and caste privilege (Chakravarti, 2003).

Education within traditional Indian knowledge structures was strictly regulated and caste-bound. Access to sacred knowledge, literacy, and philosophical learning was reserved primarily for upper-caste men, while women were systematically excluded from formal education. Shudra and Dalit women experienced compounded marginalization due to the intersection of caste and gender. Chakravarti (2003) emphasizes that denying women access to education was essential for sustaining patriarchy, as it prevented the development of critical consciousness and reinforced women's dependence on male interpretation of religious and moral codes. Knowledge thus functioned as a form of power—controlled, restricted, and hierarchically distributed.

The ideology of *stridharma* further reinforced women's subordination by defining their duties in terms of self-sacrifice, obedience, chastity, and devotion to family. Women's moral worth was measured by their capacity to endure suffering and suppress individuality. Intellectual pursuits, autonomy, and public participation were framed as deviations from ideal femininity. Sharmila Rege critiques this framework by highlighting how dominant knowledge systems erased women's voices and agency, particularly those of Dalit and lower-caste women, whose lived realities did not conform to elite constructions of womanhood (Rege, 2013).

Practices such as child marriage, enforced widowhood, prohibition of widow remarriage, and severe restrictions on women's mobility demonstrate how traditional knowledge systems exercised control over women's bodies and lives. Widowhood became a powerful site of patriarchal discipline, where women were subjected to social isolation, austerity, and stigma. These practices were legitimized through religious sanction, transforming social oppression into moral obligation. Rege (2013) argues that such practices were not merely cultural but deeply political, aimed at preserving caste order and patriarchal authority.

Furthermore, Rege's Dalit feminist perspective challenges mainstream feminist narratives that often overlook caste-based gender oppression. She contends that Indian knowledge systems constructed a homogenized category of “woman” that ignored the intersection of caste, class, and labor (Rege, 2013). Dalit women's experiences of exploitation—both within households and in public labor—were rendered invisible by dominant knowledge frameworks that centered upper-caste norms. This exclusion reveals how traditional Indian knowledge was not only patriarchal but also fundamentally casteist.

Thus, Indian Knowledge Systems functioned not simply as repositories of tradition or moral guidance but as powerful mechanisms of social control that institutionalized gender hierarchy and caste dominance. By embedding inequality within religious doctrine and cultural norms, these systems normalized women's subordination and rendered patriarchy enduring and unquestionable. As Chakravarti (2003) and Rege (2013) demonstrate, any critical re-evaluation of Indian knowledge must confront its gendered and caste-based exclusions. Reimagining Indian knowledge in a democratic and emancipatory direction requires centering women's lived experiences, embracing feminist and anti-caste perspectives, and dismantling the epistemic foundations of inequality.

Women as Secondary Citizens: Liberty, Rights, and Education

The denial of liberty to women in traditional Indian society was both ideological and institutional. Women lacked legal rights over property, choice in marriage, and freedom of movement. Customary laws treated women as dependents rather than autonomous individuals. Education, a crucial pathway to empowerment, remained inaccessible. Literacy among women remained abysmally low until the

late colonial period. Even when education was permitted, it was designed to reinforce domestic roles rather than promote critical thinking or independence.

Empirical studies on women's discrimination in India reveal the persistence of these structural inequalities. Data from national surveys consistently show gender gaps in literacy, labor force participation, access to healthcare, and political representation. Social norms rooted in tradition continue to shape attitudes toward women's education and employment, particularly in rural and marginalized communities.

Social Reform Movements and the Challenge to Traditional Knowledge

The 19th and 20th centuries witnessed the emergence of radical social reform movements that directly confronted oppressive Indian knowledge traditions. These reformers rejected the sanctification of inequality and emphasized reason, human dignity, and social justice.

Jyotirao Phule and Savitribai Phule

Jyotirao Phule, along with Savitribai Phule, challenged Brahmanical dominance by opening schools for girls and lower-caste children. Phule critiqued religious texts that legitimized oppression and argued that education was the primary means of liberation for women and Shudras. Savitribai Phule emerged as one of India's earliest feminist educators, symbolizing resistance to both caste and gender oppression.

Savitribai Phule: Education as Liberation

Savitribai Phule stands as one of the most revolutionary figures in the history of women's empowerment in India. As India's first woman teacher, she directly challenged the epistemic monopoly of Brahmanical patriarchy by asserting women's right to education. Along with Jyotirao Phule and Fatima Sheikh, Savitribai established schools for girls and lower-caste children in Pune in the mid-19th century.

Savitribai's work was not merely pedagogical but political. She faced intense social hostility, including verbal abuse and physical attacks, for educating girls. Yet, she persisted, understanding education as a tool of liberation that could dismantle both caste and gender oppression. Her poetry and writings critique patriarchy, superstition, and religious orthodoxy, calling upon women to awaken and claim their dignity.

Savitribai rejected the idea that women's primary duty was domesticity. Instead, she envisioned women as rational, self-respecting individuals capable of social transformation. Her contributions challenge dominant Indian knowledge by asserting that true wisdom lies in equality and justice rather than tradition.

Fatima Sheikh: Intersectional Feminist Praxis

Fatima Sheikh, often marginalized in mainstream histories, played a crucial role in the early women's education movement. As a Muslim woman, her collaboration with the Phules represents an intersectional challenge to both caste and religious boundaries. She provided space, support, and intellectual partnership in establishing schools for girls and marginalized communities.

Fatima Sheikh's participation disrupts the homogenized narrative of Indian knowledge that often excludes minority voices. Her work demonstrates that women's empowerment in India has been shaped through inter-community solidarity and resistance to dominant patriarchal norms. By participating in education initiatives, Fatima Sheikh contested both gendered and communal exclusions embedded in traditional knowledge systems.

Her legacy underscores the importance of inclusive and pluralistic knowledge frameworks that transcend religious and caste hierarchies.

Tarabai Shinde: Feminist Critique of Patriarchy

Tarabai Shinde's *Stri Purush Tulana* (A Comparison Between Women and Men) is one of the earliest feminist texts in India. Written in the late 19th century, the work offers a radical critique of patriarchy, exposing the double standards imposed on women while excusing male immorality.

Shinde directly challenges religious texts and cultural norms that blame women for social problems. She argues that patriarchy, not women's nature, is responsible for inequality and suffering. Her writing dismantles the moral authority of traditional Indian knowledge by revealing its inherent misogyny.

Tarabai Shinde's work is significant because it asserts women's intellectual agency. She does not seek reform within patriarchal frameworks but questions their legitimacy altogether. Her feminist critique aligns with anti-caste thought and anticipates later Ambedkarite analyses of gender oppression.

Periyar E. V. Ramasamy

Periyar's Self-Respect Movement in Tamil Nadu offered one of the most radical critiques of Indian tradition. He viewed religion and scripture as tools of oppression and strongly opposed patriarchy. Periyar advocated women's right to education, self-respect marriages, and reproductive autonomy, asserting that women's liberation was impossible without annihilating caste and superstition.

Ayankali

In Kerala, Ayankali fought for the educational rights of marginalized communities, including women. His struggles enabled Dalit girls to access schools, challenging both caste and gender barriers. Ayankali's work illustrates how education became a site of resistance against oppressive knowledge systems.

Ambedkar on Hindu Women's Social and Cultural Discrimination

Dr. B. R. Ambedkar provided the most comprehensive and systematic critique of Hindu social order and its impact on women. He argued that caste and patriarchy were mutually reinforcing systems that controlled women's sexuality to maintain social hierarchy.

In *The Rise and Fall of Hindu Women*, Ambedkar traced the historical decline in women's status to the codification of Brahmanical law. Practices such as child marriage, enforced widowhood, and the prohibition of widow remarriage were, according to Ambedkar, deliberate strategies to regulate women's reproduction and preserve caste purity.

Ambedkar strongly opposed the Manusmriti, calling it a charter of inequality. His resignation from the Hindu Code Bill debate highlighted the resistance of conservative forces to granting women equal rights in marriage, inheritance, and property. For Ambedkar, women's emancipation was not a moral issue alone but a constitutional necessity rooted in equality and human rights.

Ambedkar emphasized education as the foundation of women's empowerment, famously asserting that educating a woman means educating an entire generation. His vision of Indian knowledge was rooted in rationality, scientific temper, and social democracy rather than religious orthodoxy.

Conclusion

Indian Knowledge Systems, when uncritically celebrated, risk perpetuating historical injustices against women. While they contain valuable cultural and philosophical insights, dominant traditional frameworks have long functioned to deny women liberty, rights, and education. Women's empowerment in India has been achieved not through the preservation of oppressive traditions but through their critical transformation.

The contributions of social reformers such as Phule, Periyar, Ayankali, Shahu Maharaj, and Ambedkar demonstrate that progressive knowledge emerges from resistance to inequality. Ambedkar's legacy, in particular, reminds us that true empowerment lies in constitutional morality, education, and the rejection of hierarchical social orders. A reimagined Indian knowledge must therefore be inclusive, rational, and grounded in social justice to ensure genuine freedom and dignity for women.

References

- Ambedkar, B. R. (1989). *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and Speeches* (Vol. 3). Government of Maharashtra.
- Ambedkar, B. R. (2014). *Annihilation of Caste*. Verso.
- Chakravarti, U. (2003). *Gendering Caste: Through a Feminist Lens*. Stree.
- Deshpande, M. (2010). *History of the Indian Caste System*. Rawat Publications.
- Government of India. (2017). *National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4)*. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
- Government of India. (2021). *National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5)*. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
- Omvedt, G. (1994). *Dalits and the Democratic Revolution*. Sage Publications.
- Periyar, E. V. R. (2009). *Women Enslaved*. Periyar Self-Respect Propaganda Institution.
- Phule, J. (1991). *Selected Writings of Jotirao Phule*. LeftWord.
- Rege, S. (2013). *Against the Madness of Manu*. Navayana.