Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ)
with International Impact Factor 8.357
Peer Reviewed Journal
https://doi.org/10.69758/GIMRJ/2601S01V14P014

e-ISSN No. 2394-8426
Special Issue on Humanities And
Indian Knowledge System Feb'26
Issue–I(I), Volume–XIV

Decolonizing Knowledge: The Historical, Social, and Cultural Political Economy of Indian Knowledge Systems

Dr.Anita Sarve
Assistant Professor
Dept.of Sociology Hislop College Nagpur

Abstract

Indian Knowledge system (IKS) is a body of knowledge epistemics that is diverse and extensive and has developed on the Indian subcontinent over a number of millennia. IKS is a historically specific and culturally contextualized form of knowing that is encompassing, i.e. philosophy, science, medicine, agriculture, arts and systems of government and is challenging to the narrow, Eurocentric conceptions of knowledge. Indian Knowledge System is explored in this paper on the four dimensions that are interconnected: historical development, social structure, economic activities, and cultural perceptions of the world. Using historical writings, archaeology and current literature, the paper will follow the evolution of Indian knowledge traditions since the Indus Valley civilization and the Vedic era to the classical, medieval, colonial and postcolonial era and the processes of continuity, change, and disruption. The paper also examines the social nature of IKS, its incorporation into caste, gender, community, and pedagogical practices including guru-shishya tradition and also recognizes exclusions and hierarchies that influenced access to knowledge. In economic terms the study places IKS in the context of agrarian production, artisanship, trade systems and ecological management systems under which people used to make livelihoods and the regional economies before the colonial intervention. The paper examines the philosophical, linguistic, and aesthetic basis of the Indian knowledge traditions with emphasis on the plural epistemologies, dialogical character and the incorporation of the ethical, spiritual and material aspects of life in these traditions. Through a critical approach to colonial epistemic problems, as well as modern attempts to revive and institutionalize IKS, the paper will claim the need to consider Indian knowledge systems as living, adaptive, and global. It ends by highlighting the need to consider epistemic pluralism and culturally based knowledge in order to solve the modern issues of sustainability, development, and social justice.

Keywords: Indian Knowledge Systems; Indigenous Knowledge; Epistemic Pluralism; Decolonization of Knowledge; Culture and Society; Knowledge Economy

Introduction

The Indian Knowledge System (IKS) is a living and dynamic and varied body of knowledge traditions that have been developed on the Indian subcontinent over millennia. It has a rich assortment of epistemologies, practices, and institutions such as philosophy, mathematics, astronomy, medicine (Ayurveda), architecture (Vastu Shastra), linguistics, arts, agriculture, ethics and government. These types of knowledge were frequently closely connected with the life of society and spiritual life and made their contribution to the world intellectual history. The interpretation and analysis of IKS is not just an act of antiquarian interest and understanding but an essential course toward appreciating and acknowledging other forms of wordless, decolonizing knowledge forms, and reinventing the modern state of development in culturally infused manners. The paper discusses the Indian Knowledge System in terms of its historical background, deconstructing its social aspects, exploring its economic environments, and discussing its cultural peculiarities. In this way, it brings out the idea of continuity and change in the practices of Indigenous knowledge and makes it clear that they are relevant in the modern conversations about sustainability, education, and social justice.

History of development of the Indian Knowledge System

It is important to note that the initial writing was in use even during prehistoric and Early Historic times. The first indications of knowledge in Indian subcontinent can be seen in archaeological locations on the Indus Valley Civilization (c. 26001900 BCE), in which urban planning, hydraulic engineering, metallurgy, and standard weights and measures can ultimately be viewed as manifesting advanced empirical knowledge. The presence of script (but not yet deciphered), artisanship and organization of city-states reflect an early tradition of technical and administrative knowledge. Philosophical and ritual texts (the Vedas, Brahmanas, Aranyakas, Upanishads) also emerged in later Vedic times (c. 1500500 BCE), and addressed questions of cosmology, human existence, and ethics. Vedic scholars had formulated accurate phonetic and grammatical rules (as in the Ashtadhyayi of Panini, c. 4th century BCE), and this indicates a systematic investigation of language. At the same

time, the ritual mathematics and astronomy were contained in the system of calendrical and sacrificial mathematics.

Period of Classics

Synthesis and Systematization. Indian intellectual traditions were developed in different regions and languages between 500 BCE and 1200 CE. Schools of philosophy (Darshanas): Samkhya, Yoga, Nyaya, Vaisheshika, Mimamsa, Vedanta- expounded dogmatic epistemology. The Buddhist and Jain cultures brought their own logics, morality and cosmologies. During this era, the Indian contributions to mathematics (such as the conceptualization of zero, place-value system, arithmetic, and early algebra) and astronomy (such as those of Aryabhata, Varahamihira and Brahmagupta) had a profound influence on the further development of science in Asia and the Arab world. The body of medical knowledge was organized in such texts as the Charaka Samhita and Sushruta Samhita which established a more detailed anatomical and therapeutic and surgical practice. Bhakti and Sufi movements of the 7th century and later extended the popularization of expressions of devotion and poetry, bringing in the cultures of the vernacular and democratizing access to spiritual knowledge no longer restricted to a Brahmanical elite. Transitions between Medieval and Early Modern. The medieval period (12001750 CE) was experienced as a period of interaction between various bodies of knowledge such as Islamic sciences, Persian literature as well as local vernacular literatures. The most important institutional learning settings became madrasas, temple schools and guilds. Commentarial traditions were still accompanied by the writing of texts on logic, philosophy, medicinal botany and astronomy. The Indian knowledge systems also intertwined with the world through the trade, pilgrimage and intellectual travelling. Indian mathematics was introduced to the Arab world, and, in translation to Latin, ultimately enlightened European Renaissance science. The early modern period saw the introduction of print technology which increased the spread of knowledge although unevenly.

Colonial Interruptions and Recoveries in the Modern Era

Colonialism had a basic impact on the Indian schooling and epistemology. The British brought about western sciences, and they substituted the local learning institutions with English medium schools, colleges and merit systems to administer the country. Most of the traditional practices were marginalized as colonial policies usually looked down upon Indian knowledge as either superstitious or unscientific. Nevertheless, the engagement also triggered critical re-engagements. Raja Ram Mohan Roy, Swami Vivekananda and Rabindranath Tagore, were Indian reformers who attempted to redefine Indian knowledge through the discourse with the world. Sanskrit, Ayurveda, Yogic practices and indigenous arts and crafts were regarded by nationalist movements as a symbol of cultural strength. After Independence, higher education, research institutes, and cultural rejuvenation have tried to reclaim and rearticulate IKS to modernity.

Indian Knowledge System Social Characteristics

In the current times, there has been a rise in interrelatedness of knowledge and social life. In contrast to contemporary Western epistemological dichotomies of science and religion, the Indian knowledge traditions used to combine the intellectual inquiry with the social life. Cosmological knowledge, rituals, crafts and ethics were interconnected. As an example, the agrarian calendars, festivals and communal times were not led by astronomical observations alone but were directed by the sciences. Transfer of knowledge was highly social, that is, usually within families, guru-shishya (teacher-disciple) or community-oriented as opposed to institutional in the Western meaning. The methods of oral recitation, memorization, debate and apprenticeship were important pedagogic approaches. This social implantation allowed generational continuity, though also caste, kinship, and regional hierarchies determined knowledge.

Caste, Gender, and Accessibility to Knowledge

Hierarchical structures, in particular, caste shaped the social contours of IKS. Historically, many communities (Dalits and Adivasis, in particular) had limited access to Sanskrit textual traditions and formal education. Information within non-elite communities, which was frequently encoded in local languages and customs, did not receive the necessary appreciation or were not implemented into the orthodox canons. There was also the influence of gender norms on access and production of knowledge. Whereas women were important contributors to domestic, agrarian, and craft systems of

knowledge and some women sages are recorded in the early literature (e.g. Gargi, Maitreyi) formal scholarly involvement was constrained by patriarchal norms. Feminist scholars now emphasize the fact that the experiential knowledge women have, when it comes to healing, agriculture, textile arts, is an important but undervalued aspect of IKS.

Pluralism and Dialogical Traditions.

One of the characteristics of IKS is pluralistic and dialogical character. There were several schools of philosophy which co-existed, argued, and refined one another. Buddhist epistemologists debunked Nyaya, Advaita Vedanta developed non-dualist readings, and subsequent Sufi philosophy interacted with discourses of Bhakti religion. This pluralism supported intellectual stamina and flexibility. There were local knowledge traditions (folk medicine, farm industries, oral literature, performing art) and Sanskritic and Islamic academic traditions. The interaction of textual and practice-based knowledge made learning to be more social in various regions and communities.

Indian Knowledge System in Economic Dimensions

Knowledge and Economic Practices

The person understands the importance of gaining knowledge and using it to achieve the most optimal outcome. The Indian systems of knowledge were closely related to economic activity. The agrarian communities also benefited by traditional knowledge in agriculture, including crop rotation, seed choice, soil improvement (through plant manures, crop residues), water harvesting and local ecology knowledge that facilitated agrarian communities to maintain sustainable livelihoods long before the advent of modern agronomy. Craft knowledge Textiles (weaving, dyeing), metallurgy (ironwork, bronze casting), ceramics and architecture form economic bases in both urban and rural economies. Quality, training and trade were handled by gild systems (shrenis). Such practices contained a lot of technical knowledge that flowed in the form of apprenticeship and within community structures.

Trade, Technology and Transregional Exchanges.

The involvement of India in regional and long-distance trade, which was carried out with Southeast Asia, West Asia, Africa, and in the following case Europe, led to economic exchange of goods, technologies, and knowledge. Spices, gemstones, metalworks, and Indian textiles were most appreciated in the world markets. The commercial mobility was supported by knowledge of navigation, currency, weights and contract systems. Transregional intellectual circles even adopted and developed mathematical innovations like decimal notation and arithmetic and made them useful in trade and accounting.

Colonial Economies and Disruption of Knowledge

Economic landscape and knowledge landscape were reorganized by colonialism. Indigenous systems of production were restructured by the British focus on exporting cash crops, system of taxation and state-controlled education. Traditional artisans and agriculture were on the decline due to the imports of culture and monoculture. The impacts of the colonial rule were the advancement of Western science and marginalization of indigenous technologies, which resulted in epistemic marginalization. However, opposition and adaptation continued e.g., in rural co-operatives, craft revivals and nationalistic critique of colonial economic policies.

Potential of the Modern

IKS and Sustainable Development. Interest in IKS towards sustainable economic practices comes back. Alternatives to extractive and energy intensive models are traditional water harvesting systems (e.g., stepwells, tanks), agroecological, herbal medicines, and community-based natural resource management. Such types of knowledge have an economic bearing on rural livelihoods, biodiversity conservation and inclusive development. Governments and civil society are considering the models of embedding IKS to modern innovation systems - and preserving intellectual property rights of communities and equitable sharing of benefits.

Cultural particulars of Indian Knowledge System.

Cosmological and Philosophical Foundations

The cosmological questions of the connection of the human, ethical, and cosmic order are profound shapers of Indian cultural imagination. Such concepts as Dharma (duty/ethics), Karma (action and

consequence) and Moksha (liberation) are indicative of a world view in which the individual and social life is incorporated in greater spiritual and moral universes. Sophisticated ethics and metaphysics were explained in the philosophical discourses (Vedanta, Buddhism, Jain thought) and are still present in the South Asian cultures. These underlying concepts were conveyed through language, literature and arts. Cultural memory, moral thinking, and aestheticism were cultivated by the Sanskrit epics (Mahabharata, Ramayana), regional literature and oral tradition.

Language and Literature

In IKS, language has been one of the key factors. Sanskrit created very formalized grammar (generative rules of Panini) and rich literary genres. Meanwhile Prakrits and subsequent vernacular languages (Tamil, Kannada, Telugu, Bengali, Marathi, Hindi and others) were developing literary and intellectual traditions based on regional histories and sensibilities. An example can be the Tamil Sangam literature (c. 300 BCE300 CE), which is an early and deep collection of poetry and morality, expressing complicated social life and aesthetic ideals. Spiritual and emotional expressions through regional idioms became democratized and formed changing cultural identities by Bhakti and Sufi poetry.

Arts, Rituals, and Performance

Traditions of Indian knowledge cannot be separated with arts and performance practices. Some of the classical arts such as the Bharatanatyam, Kathak, Carnatic and Hindustani music are based on the theories of rasa (aesthetic emotion), tala (rhythm) and shruti (pitch) and are formulated in treatises such as the Natya Shastra. Local cosmologies, seasonal cycles, and collective narratives are all represented by folk arts. Rituals - Vedic, tantric, folk, regional and others - incorporate elaborate systems of symbolic learning which are used to express cosmologies, ethics, and social orders. The practices of these cultures strengthen the unity of communities and pass on intergenerational meanings.

Epistemic Diversity and Worldviews

The cultural trait of IKS is in the epistemic diversity. Knowledge was not only in scripture; it was practiced in daily activities - in medicinal activities by traditional healers (Vaidyas, bone setters), in farmers' alternative agricultural calendars and in ecological knowledge in pastoral societies. This variety highlights various modes of knowing, in classical Indian epistemologies intuition and experience (pratyaksha), reasoning (anumana), testimony (shabda), and analogy (upamana) are all known. These pluralistic premises promote respect for a diverse body of knowledge and non-linear perception of truth.

Difficulties and Future Projection

Recognition and Integration of the Institution

Institutional recognition in mainstream education and research is one of the major challenges that IKS will face. Although efforts are being made to record and bring back indigenous knowledge, there is a tendency between recognition and full integration into curriculums. The gap between the traditional knowledge and modern sciences needs to be carefully organized with structures that preserve the epistemic autonomy and the development of interdisciplinary interaction.

Decolonizing Knowledge and Equity

Decolonizing knowledge entails breaking down of hierarchies that gave precedence to Western epistemologies over local ones. This does not only involve reinstating Indian traditions in the curricula, but also changing the research methodologies, respecting local languages, and the structural injustices in knowledge production.

Intellectual Property and Sharing of Ethics

With the increased interest in traditional medicine, botanical expertise, and cultural processes in the world, there are ethical issues of intellectual property and sharing benefits. Preservation of knowledge among communities against exploitative practices and at the same time offering a way forward in collaborative innovation is a topical policy issue.

Sustainability and Global Relevance

IKS provides useful information regarding the transitions to sustainability. Alternative paradigms to extractive development were agroecological systems and water management systems, and community governance systems reflected in Indian traditions. By incorporating these into climate resilience, conservation of biodiversity, and in rural livelihoods, social based solutions can be achieved.

Conclusion

The Indian Knowledge System is a diverse and a long-term storehouse of human enquiry which dates back to millennium. Its historical development is characterized by the great intellectual rigor, philosophical diversity, and cultural creativity. Being a living system, IKS still educates the social life, economic practice, and cultural identities. Realizing its social settings, economic value and cultural diversity provides avenues for more inclusive, equitable and sustainable knowledge futures. With a world struggling with environmental disasters, the disparities in education, and the erosion of cultures, Indian knowledge systems are inviting the radical reconsideration of how societies know, live and learn. It is important, both to deepen global epistemic pluralism and to develop development pathways based on local reality and collective wisdom, to engage critically, though respectfully, with IKS: neither romanticization nor reductionism.

References

A. L. Basham. (2004). *The wonder that was India*. London, UK: Picador.

Amartya Sen. (2005). *The argumentative Indian: Writings on Indian history, culture and identity*. London, UK: Allen Lane.

Bimal N. Patel. (Ed.). (2022). *Indian knowledge systems: Concepts and applications*. New Delhi, India: Academic Foundation.

Claude Alvares. (1991). *Decolonising history: Technology and culture in India, China and the West, 1492 to the present day*. New York, NY: Apex Press.

D. P. Chattopadhyaya. (2008). *History of science and philosophy in Indian civilization*. New Delhi, India: Centre for Studies in Civilizations.

Debiprasad Chattopadhyaya. (2010). *What is living and what is dead in Indian philosophy*. New Delhi, India: People's Publishing House.

Dharampal. (2000). *Indian science and technology in the eighteenth century*. Goa, India: Other India Press.

Irfan Habib. (2002). *The agrarian system of Mughal India, 1556–1707*. New Delhi, India: Oxford University Press.

Kapila Vatsyayan. (2001). *The square and the circle of the Indian arts*. New Delhi, India: Roli Books.

Meera Nanda. (2003). *Prophets facing backward: Postmodern critiques of science and Hindu nationalism in India*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Romila Thapar. (2002). *Early India: From the origins to AD 1300*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Shiv Visvanathan. (2005). *Knowledge, justice and democracy*. New Delhi, India: Oxford University Press.

Upendra Baxi. (2010). Indigenous traditions and knowledge systems. In R. Peerenboom (Ed.), *Asian discourses of rule of law* (pp. 45–68). London, UK: Routledge.

UNESCO. (2002). *Local and indigenous knowledge systems*. Paris, France: UNESCO.

Vandana Shiva. (1993). *Monocultures of the mind: Perspectives on biodiversity and biotechnology*. London, UK: Zed Books.

Wilhelm Halbfass. (1988). *India and Europe: An essay in understanding*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.