Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ)*with* International Impact Factor 8.357
Peer Reviewed Journal
https://doi.org/10.69758/GIMRJ/2508S01V13P001

e-ISSN No. 2394-8426
Special Issue on Scientific Research Aug'25
Issue–VIII(I), Volume–XIII

Confrontation Between East and West in Ruth Prawer Jhabvala's Esmond in India

Dr. Parag Bombatkar
Tirpude College of Social Work,
Nagpur. 440001
Email:- pgbombatkar@gmail.com
Mob:- 8605388226

Summary:
Ruth Prawer Jhabvala's short story "Esmond in India" explores themes of cultural dislocation, comic misunderstandings, and the estrangement that arises when western sensibilities confront Indian realities. Through the figure of Esmond — a European who drifts into India after independence seeking personal refreshment and a romanticized escape — Jhabvala stages a narrowly comic but sharply observant examination of colonial aftershocks, the limits of empathy, and the human need for connection. The narrative's economy, exactness of detail, and restrained irony allow it to analyse the subtle hypocrisies of expatriate life and the ambiguous moral space inhabited by both visitors and residents. The story ultimately asks whether genuine cross-cultural understanding is possible when one party treats place and people as props for individual renewal.

Key Words: Ruth Prawer Jhabvala; Edmond in India; postcolonial fiction; exile and displacement; cultural encounter; narrative irony; expatriate literature; identity; space and setting; moral ambivalence.

Introduction:
Ruth Prawer Jhabvala (1927–2013) is widely recognized for fiction that probes the conflict between cultures, particularly the encounters between Westerners and Indian society. Writing from long experience of living in India (and later in the United States), Jhabvala's stories often combine wry humour with moral clarity exposing the assumptions underpinning cross-cultural contact. "Esmond in India" is exemplary of her approach; it is compact, deceptively simple, and rich in ambiguous moral judgments.

This paper situates "Esmond in India" within Jhabvala's broader concerns — exile, social distance, and the performative dimensions of travel — and offers a close reading of its narrative techniques, characterization, and thematic resonances. Particular attention is paid to Jhabvala's use of setting as character, the narrative voice's ironic distance, and the story's sustained interest in the limits of sympathy. The analysis argues that Jhabvala uses the ostensibly comic premise of a European tourist's misadventures to expose deeper ethical and psychological truths about modern subjectivities.

About Novel:
Esmond in India is a novel of maneuver and misunderstanding. At its center is the traditional adulterous triangle of a man, Esmond Stillwood, and two women, his wife, Gulab, and the younger Shakuntala, with whom, late in the novel, he begins an affair. Yet in ironic reversal of novelistic convention, these romantic or sexual relationships are completely dwarfed in interest and importance by the stronger domestic struggles going on around them. Gulab never finds out

about Shakuntala, and, though Gulab does leave Esmond and return to her family, this has nothing to do with her feelings about him, and everything to do with the long and vocal campaign conducted all through the novel by her Aunt Uma to get her, and especially her child Ravi, to come home to Indian food, Indian manners, and her Indian ties of blood. Similarly, Shakuntala's family never find out about Esmond, or even suspect such a possibility, concerned as they are about making a prosperous marriage for her, which will above all defeat the feared and dangerous prospect of Shakuntala's deciding to marry Gulab's brother Narayan, a qualified doctor but one who shows no ambition toward using his qualifications to make money.

The real events in the novel, as one can see already, are almost hidden by a cloud of hopes, fears, and possibilities, few or none of which eventuate. The general anxiety which fills the novel is, moreover, generated and fueled by events in the past, both personal and political, which everyone remembers but no one is eager to mention. At the root of the whole confusion lies the changed relationship of Ram Nath and Har Dayal, the two fathers. Both were once of similar status, rich, Cambridge-educated, full of potential, with Ram Nath the elder and guiding spirit. This relationship, however, has, by the time of the novel, been reversed: Har Dayal is rich, Ram Nath relatively poor (though still supported by portions of his former property). The change seems to have been caused by Ram Nath's greater efforts in the cause of independence, or Swaraj. He has been jailed by the British and has had a brother-in-law die on hunger strike. Yet, ironically, once Swaraj is achieved, Ram Nath, who might have expected a major post in the government for which he has fought, does not get it or, perhaps, refuses it-this matter is never made clear-while Har Dayal, who has stayed safely on the sidelines, rises to wealth and power. The two men remain friends, with Ram Nath polite but Har Dayal deferential, embarrassed, and even feeling guilty. Their wives and female relations think differently, however, resenting or despising each other.

The scene is therefore set for a major confrontation when Ram Nath's wife, Lakshmi, decides (supported by her much more formidable sister-in-law Uma) that Shakuntala would make a good wife for her son Narayan when he emerges from his poor rural practice. Ram Nath's family believe that they are socially equal and morally superior to Har Dayal's. Har Dayal's family (wife, son, and daughter-in-law combined) believe that there is no social equality at all, and their resentment is compounded by a tinge of uneasy guilt. The whole matter is exacerbated by the memory of an event some five years before, when the families were not so clearly heading in different directions and when an agreement to marry Har Dayal's son Amrit to Ram Nath's daughter Gulab was broken by Gulab's marriage to the Englishman Esmond. One side sees this as a misfortune, to be repaired by a new marriage, the other as an unforgivable insult.

In this extremely complex social setting, it is not surprising that almost all the characters contrive to misunderstand one another. Shakuntala's youthful idealism, very soon identified by the reader as naive and shallow, is taken by Ram Nath as an echo of his own earlier feelings; he concludes that she might be a good match for his genuinely idealistic son. Successive interviews between Uma and Har Dayal's wife, Madhuri, and between Lakshmi and Madhuri leave Ram Nath's side quite unaware of Madhuri's inflexible hostility. Meanwhile, Shakuntala is understood by her

embarrassed father to be totally rejecting the Narayan idea, but by her anxious sister-in-law Indira to be seriously considering it. The reader understands that, in the first conversation, Shakuntala is talking in veiled fashion about her love for Esmond, while in the second, she is trying to irritate a pompous snob. Such perceptions, however, are never shared. Esmond in India embodies a central paradox, representative in a way of "the human condition" generally: Its characters are enmeshed in a web of close relationships yet never succeed in understanding one another on a one-to-one basis.

Jhabvala's perspective:

Though Jhabvala's biography is sometimes read as a template for her fiction (a European-born writer who made a home in India), she resists simplistic autobiographical mapping. Her fiction arises from prolonged observation and a temperament keen on registering social weaknesses. In "Esmond in India," that temperament manifests as an even-handed but unsparing gaze: the narrator watches both Esmond and the Indian scene with a mixture of amusement and clarity.

The story participates in a long tradition of Western travel narratives in India, but its irony undercuts romantic or orientalist fantasies. Rather than glorify India as backdrop for Western self-discovery, Jhabvala presents India as an interacting social world whose rhythms and economies resist being subsumed into a foreigner's interior drama. Esmond's attempts to use India as a setting for his own regeneration reveal him as both vulnerable and selfish — qualities that make him a sympathetic but flawed protagonist.

Jhabvala's narrative control is one of the story's most striking features. The voice is measured, often dryly comic, and manages to be both intimate and distancing. This narrative stance affords the reader access to Esmond's psychology while simultaneously signalling the narrator's skepticism about Esmond's interpretations.

Structurally, the story is economical: incidents accumulate rather than escalate, and the curve is more psychological than plot-driven. Jhabvala resists melodrama. Instead she resorts to small gestures, slight misunderstandings, and to reveal the gulf between intention and consequence. This minimalism intensifies the reader's attention to language and scene, making each descriptive detail carry moral and thematic weight.

The narrator's occasional wry comments function like an interlocutor in a conversation that the text stages between self and other. By withholding open judgment yet frequently demonstrating Esmond's misreadings, the narrative cultivates an ironic gap. Readers are invited to sympathize with Esmond and at the same time, reminded of his myopia. This layered stance is effective because it refuses to reduce characters to caricatures; Esmond remains fully human, but his humanness is portrayed with unsparing honesty.

Character of Esmond: longing and comic vulnerability:

Esmond is presented as a figure motivated by longing; for warmth, for connection, for a break from his familiar world. Yet his longing carries an element of entitlement. He expects India to furnish him with an emotional or sensual remedy, and he treats encounters as potential gratifications rather than as mutual exchanges.

This ambivalence is central to the story's ethics. Esmond's vulnerability — his loneliness, timidity, and naive hope — generates our sympathy. But his entitlement complicates that sympathy: he behaves at times like a tourist who believes the world exists to be rearranged for his comfort. Jhabvala shapes this ambivalence to show how exile or travel can be emancipatory without necessarily being with welfare motive.

Esmond's interactions are laced with comic misapprehensions: he misreads gestures, over-interprets politeness, and substitutes his own narrative for other people's lives. These misfires are not merely humorous; they reveal how cultural difference can be manipulated by those who hold power (economic, symbolic) to imagine that the other exists as a stage for their feelings. At the same time, Jhabvala resists condemning Esmond outright; instead she presents him as a character with broader human tendency to project and seek solace in unfamiliar conditions.

India as interlocutor:

A critical strength of Jhabvala's writing is her rendering of place. India in "Esmond in India" is not simply scenery but an active interlocutor that shapes the narrative's moral and psychological contours. The sensory details — bustling streets, humidity, domestic interiors — ground the story in a lived physicality that resists exoticization. Jhabvala's India is particular and messy; its routines, social hierarchies, and small economies of exchange are depicted with specificity without romantic shine.

Esmond's movement through physical spaces mirrors his interior attempts at orientation. He inhabits guesthouses, public streets, and private homes, each setting exposing a different register of intimacy and distance. The story repeatedly draws attention to thresholds — doorways, verandahs, the boundary between the public and the private — to suggest how encounters across cultures involve crossing (or failing to cross) real and symbolic thresholds.

Jhabvala also foregrounds the asymmetry of movement. Esmond can come and go with relative ease; his presence is temporary and therefore more liable to instrumentalization either by himself or by those who engage him. This temporariness amplifies the moral questions around consumption of place and people.

Irony and humour:

Jhabvala's prose is characteristically concise, precise, and infused with understatement. Her humor is often dry, born of careful observation rather than overt punchlines. it punctures romantic illusions and reveals the discrepancy between characters' self-narratives and their effects on others.

Language functions to both reveal and conceal. Esmond's inner monologue, when presented, exposes a self-narrative that attributes more knowledge and sensitivity to him than his actions deserve Dialogue scenes often highlight miscommunication — not only linguistic but cultural and emotional. Jhabvala exploits these miscommunications to show how language can create, sustain, or obscure social distance.

Importantly, the narrator does not moralize through rhetoric; instead, irony invites the reader to hold multiple positions. We can laugh at Esmond's pretensions while still acknowledging his

loneliness and the human impulses that drive him. This balanced use of humour is crucial: it prevents the story from descending into mere satire while still allowing a trenchant critique.

Themes and motifs:

1. Alienation and the search for belonging

Esmond's journey is ultimately a quest for belonging. Yet his attempts reveal how belonging requires mutual recognition, a recognition that is seldom achieved when one approaches difference with instrumental intent. The story suggests that alienation is as much internal as cultural: Esmond is alienated from himself and expects outward travel to effect an inward change.

2. The ethics of observation and consumption

Jhabvala interrogates the ethics of being an observer in another culture. Esmond's gaze, his curiosity and his desire can be compassionate, but it can also be reductive. The story asks whether the act of observing another culture necessarily entails a form of appropriation, and whether genuine reciprocity is possible within unequal power dynamics.

3. Transience and permanence

The contrast between Esmond's transient presence and the anchored lives of Indian characters highlights different temporalities. For locals, life proceeds through long-established routines; for Esmond, time is elastic and oriented toward personal transformation. This disjunction raises questions about the sustainability and depth of cross-cultural encounters.

4. Class and power differentials

Although the story focuses on personal experience, class and social hierarchies are ever-present. Jhabvala subtly maps how economic disparities shape interactions: services rendered, small favours exchanged, and social courtesies all occur in a field structured by material inequalities. These structural conditions influence the ethical possibilities of friendship or intimacy across cultures.

Encoding larger themes:

Jhabvala uses a handful of recurrent images — thresholds, meals, small domestic objects — to encode larger themes. Meals, for example, function as moments of potential intimacy but also of negotiation: sharing food can create connection, yet it also exposes economic disparities and differing expectations. Similarly, thresholds mark the point where protection meets exposure; they are the literal and figurative places where belonging is contested.

Another recurring motif is the gaze — who looks, who is looked at, and what is concluded from looking. Esmond's gaze alternately romanticizes and infantilizes, and the text's treatment of visuality emphasizes how sight is inevitably entangled with interpretation and power.

"Esmond in India" can be read alongside other works by Jhabvala that address cross-cultural entanglement for instance, stories collected in *East into Upper East* and novels like *Heat and Dust*. Like those texts, it shares an interest in the consequences of cultural collision: displacement, erotic confusion, and the collapse of easy moral certainties. Comparatively, Jhabvala's tone differs from colonial-era travelogue: rather than imposing grand narratives about India, she foregrounds nuance and ambiguity.

Critics of "Esmond in India" might argue that Jhabvala's portrayal reinscribes a Western centrality: by focusing on Esmond's interiority, the story risks marginalizing Indian perspectives. This is a fair objection, and it invites a reading attentive to whose perspectives are narrated and how agency is distributed.

A counter-argument is that Jhabvala often intentionally centers Western subjectivity precisely to expose its limits. By saturating Esmond's perspective with irony, she reveals the insufficiency of such a singular viewpoint. Moreover, although Indian characters may receive less interior development, they are not mere backdrops: their actions and responses function as corrective forces that reveal Esmond's misconceptions.

Conclusion:

Ruth Prawer Jhabvala's "Esmond in India" is a compact, morally nuanced exploration of cross-cultural encounter. Through a finely controlled narrative voice, precise descriptive language, and an economy of incident, Jhabvala stages the tensions between longing and entitlement, sympathy and appropriation. The story does not offer easy resolutions; instead it leaves readers with the uncomfortable insight that good intentions do not guarantee understanding, and that genuine connection requires more than the arrival of an outsider in an glorified place.

A novel such as Esmond in India could probably not have been written by a native-born Indian. Its attitude toward independence, recorded barely ten years after that achievement, is too disillusioned. It is difficult, meanwhile, to imagine an English author writing with such neutrality about the way English people are perceived. Jhabvala, however, is almost a perfect example of an "outsider" author, having been born in Germany, of Jewish parents, having emigrated to England before World War II, and having emigrated again to India in early maturity as the wife (perhaps significantly again) of a Parsi, belonging to another religious minority. Jhabvala is accordingly in touch with many cultures but committed to none.

Her awareness of cultural oppositions in space and time was to bring her the Booker Prize for Fiction for Heat and Dust (1975). The main achievement of Esmond in India, however-her third novel, written some twenty years before-lies in its combination of deep cultural relativism in subject with close adherence to tradition in form. Esmond in India is a classic example of the wryly amused "storm in a teacup" novel, so strikingly pioneered by Jane Austen. Jhabvala follows Austen's technique, manner, tone, and even, to some extent, her moral attitude. Yet all has been transported, miraculously, to far different skies and customs.

The narrative's lasting power lies in its refusal to moralize or to sentimentalize. Jhabvala's irony is neither scornful nor indulgent, but diagnostic. Edmond remains both pitiable and culpable, emblematic of a modern mobility that promises renewal but often brings displacement. "Esmond in India" asks readers to reflect on the ethics of travel, the responsibilities of the observer, and the possibility — however difficult — of encountering others without consuming them.

References:

1) Gooneratne, Yasmine. Silence, Exile and Cunning: The Fiction of Ruth Prawer Jhabvala, 1983.
2) Shahane, Vasant A. Ruth Prawer Jhabvala, 1976.
3) Williams, Haydn M. The Fiction of Ruth Prawer Jhabvala, 1973.
4) Williams, Haydn M. "Strangers in a Backward Place: Modern India in the Fiction Ruth Prawer Jhabvala," in Journal of Commonwealth Literature. VI (1971).