Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ)*with*
International Impact Factor 8.357
Peer Reviewed Journal
https://doi.org/10.69758/GIMRJ/2504I5VXIIIP0057

e-ISSN No. 2394-8426
Monthly Issue
APR-2025
Issue–IV, Volume–XIII

Comparison of the Irving-Rossotti Method and the Bjerrum Method for Calculating Metal-Ligand Complex Stability Constants

Choudhari P. P[1]., Wadekar M. P[2]., Kawalkar A. V[3]., Yawale P. R[4]., andDongapure A. C.[5]*
[1,4] Department of Chemistry, G. S. Tompe Arts Commerce and Science College. Chandur Bazar, Amravati, India.
[2]Applied Chemistry Division, GVISH, Amravati, Maharashtra, India
[3]Dept. of Chemistry, AmolakchandMahavidyalayaYavatmal -445001, India
[5] Department of Chemistry, ShankarlalAgrawal Science College, Salekasa, India

*Corresponding Author: avinashdongapure888@gmail.com

Abstract
This paper compares the Bjerrum approach and the Irving-Rossotti method, two popular techniques for figuring out the stability constants of metal-ligand complexes. The basic ideas, procedures, and experimental strategies related to each technique are examined. The study also looks at how they are used in practice, emphasising both their main distinctions and similarities. Researchers can choose the best strategy for determining stability constants by being aware of these techniques.

Introduction

The strength of the interaction between metal ions and ligands in solution is determined by the metal-ligand complex stability constants (LogK) (1). In domains including environmental science, industrial applications, and bioinorganic chemistry, precise identification of these constants is crucial. the many methodologies that are accessible, the Irving-Rossotti method and the Bjerrum method are two well-known techniques that have been widely applied in potentiometric research (2).

The Irving-Rossotti method, named after chemists Harry Irving and Robert J. P. Williams (later modified by Rossotti), is a pH-based titration approach that relies on the measurement of proton displacement during complex formation (3). This method is particularly well-suited for systems where proton competition between the ligand and the metal ion plays a significant role (4). It offers the advantage of being experimentally straightforward and applicable to a wide range of metal-ligand systems (5). However, its accuracy can be influenced by factors such as the choice of buffer systems and the precision of pH measurements.On the other hand, the Bjerrum technique, which was created by the Danish chemist NielsBjerrum, analyzes ligand concentration as a function of pH and is founded on the idea of formation functions. The distribution of species in solution can be thoroughly studied using this method, which is especially useful for stepwise complexation processes (6). Although the Bjerrum approach is frequently commended for its capacity to manage intricate equilibria involving numerous metal-ligand species, it necessitates meticulous experimental planning and data analysis to prevent errors brought on by overlapping equilibria.

Despite being widely used, the Irving-Rossotti and Bjerrum approaches have not been thoroughly compared in the literature. To help researchers choose the best strategy for their particular systems and to identify any potential biases or sources of mistake in each methodology, such a comparison is crucial. In order to give a thorough assessment of these two approaches, this work will concentrate on their theoretical underpinnings, experimental specifications, and suitability for various metal-ligand systems. This study compares their advantages and disadvantages in an effort to improve the precision and dependability of stability constant calculations in coordination chemistry (7).

The Bjerrum Method

Based on potentiometric titration and using the idea of formation functions ($\bar{n}$), this technique was created by Niels Bjerrum in the early 20th century. The average number of ligands bound per metal ion at a specific ligand concentration is represented by the formation function, $\bar{n}$. The technique is predicated on the idea that metal-ligand complexes form in a sequential fashion, or stepwise complex formation. The relationship between $\bar{n}$ and the concentration of free ligand [pL] is used to compute the stability constants. Using either a visual or computational technique, the stability constants are found by plotting n' against log [pL] (8).

The Irving-Rossotti Method

Bjerrum's strategy was expanded upon in 1953 by Harry Irving and Robert J. P. Williams (later improved by Rossotti) with the Irving-Rossotti method, which has higher computational accuracy. Though it concentrates on the struggle between protons and metal ions for binding to the ligand, this technique also uses potentiometric titration. Protonation constants and stability constants are obtained by measuring the concentration of free ligand at various pH values using a sophisticated titration curve analysis (9).

Two fundamental purposes form the basis of the Irving-Rossottimethod:1. The proton-ligand formation function

$$\bar{n}_A = \text{Ɣ} - \frac{(V_2 - V_1)(N + E^o)}{(V_o + V_1)T_L}$$

$$\bar{n} = \frac{(V_3 - V_2)(N + E^o)}{(V_o + V_2)\bar{n}_A T_M}$$

$$pL = pK + \log\left(\frac{T_L^0 - (\bar{n}T_M^0)}{\bar{n}T_M^0}\right)$$

Where,

(N) Normality of base used

(V_0) Initial volume of the solution

(Ɣ) The number of titrable or replaceable protons

(V_1, V_2, and V_3) The volume of base consumed during the (A), (A + L), and(A + L + M) titrations, respectively, at the same pH value

($\mathcal{E}_0$) Initial concentration of acid

(pL) Negative logarithm of the free ligand concentration

(pK) The acid dissociation constant of the ligand

($\bar{n}_A$)TheProton-Ligand Formation Number

($\bar{n}$) The average number of ligands bound per metal ion
(T_L^0) The total ligand concentration
(T_M^0) The total metal ion concentration

Compared to the Bjerrum method's graphical approach, the Irving-Rossotti method is more computationally robust because it calculates stability constants using linear regression techniques. Its accuracy and simplicity in experiment make it particularly useful for systems where proton competition is important.

Comparison of Methods

Feature	Bjerrum Method	Irving-Rossotti Method
Data Analysis	Graphical	Computational
Accuracy	Moderate	Higher
Complexity	Simpler	More involved
Free Ligand Estimation	Indirect	Direct
Applicability	General use	More precise for weak complexes

Advantages and Limitations

Although the Bjerrum approach relies on graphical interpretation, it may be inaccurate in identifying weakly bound species. However, it is straightforward and efficient for strong metal-ligand complexes. The Irving-Rossotti method, on the other hand, is appropriate for a wider range of systems, including weak metal-ligand interactions, because it incorporates statistical and computational techniques to produce more precise values.

Conclusion

The Bjerrum method is still useful for fast estimations, but the Irving-Rossotti method is better for more precise and in-depth analyses. Both approaches are essential for determining the metal-ligand stability constant, and the method that is used depends on the type of system being studied and the degree of accuracy needed.

References

1. **Solov'ev, V., &Tsivadze, A.,**Linear free energy relationship modelling for predicting metal-ligand stability constants by thermodynamic radii., 2024, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1080/10610278.2024.2430492

2. **R., PatekarTrupti. A. and Shaikh A.** *Vols. Int J Sci Res Sci& Technol.,*Advancements in pH-Metric Studies of Transition Metal Complexes: Methodologies, Applications and Future Directions 2024, 11 (15) : 828-840. https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST

3. **Irving, H., &Rossotti, H. S.**The Calculation of Formation Curves of Metal Complexes from pH Titration Curves in Mixed Solvents. *Journal of the Chemical Society*, 3397-3405, 1953.

4. **Karaderi, S. E., et al.**Stability constants of ternary complexes β-lactam antibiotic drugs with bivalent transition metal(II) ions in the presence of aliphatic amino acids, *Journal of Research in Pharmacy* 28(6), 2215-2222, 2024.

5. **Asemave, Kaana.,**Binary Stability Constants Studies of Cu and Mn-Complexes with Cysteine and Cephalexin, *Adv." J. Chem. Sect. B. Nat. Prod. Med. Chem.,* 6, 140-146, 2024

https://doi.org/10.69758/GIMRJ/2504I5VXIIIP0057

6. **Bjerrum, J.,** Metal Ammine Formation in Aqueous Solution.P. Haase& Son, 1952.
7. **Martell, A.E., Hancock, R.D.,**Stability Constants and Their Measurement. In: Metal Complexes in Aqueous Solutions. Modern Inorganic Chemistry.*Springer, Boston, MA.* 1996.https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-1486-6_7
8. **Sani, AbdurRahman.,** Tracer studies in the solution chemistry of heavy elements. *Diss. Durham University,* 1965.
9. **Deepa, Samala, et al.,**Novel Tridentate Hydrazone of Heterocyclic N-oxide and Its Transition Metal Complexes: Evaluation of DNA/BSA Binding Interactions, In Vitro and In Silico Biological Properties.,*ChemistrySelect*, 10, e202404749, 2025.
10. **Asemave, Kaana.,**Binary Stability Constants Studies of Cu and Mn-Complexes with Cysteine and Cephalexin., Adv.*J. Chem. Sect. B. Nat. Prod. Med. Che.,* 6, 140-146, 2024.