

Impact of NEP 2020 on In-Service Teacher Training: Challenges and Innovations

Dr. Ratri Lahari

Assistant Professor

Gurukul Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Kalibadi Raipur CG

Introduction

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a transformative reform in India's education sector, emphasizing teacher training and professional development. The policy aims to overhaul the in-service teacher training system to enhance educators' competencies and align teaching methodologies with 21st-century learning requirements. This concept paper explores the impact of NEP 2020 on in-service teacher training, identifying key challenges and innovative solutions in its implementation.

Objectives

1. To analyze the impact of NEP 2020 on in-service teacher training programs.
2. To identify challenges faced by educators in adapting to new training frameworks.

Significance of the Study

The study is crucial for understanding how NEP 2020 influences the professional growth of educators, leading to improved teaching-learning outcomes. It provides insights into policy implementation gaps and suggests improvements to make teacher training more effective and inclusive.

Key Features of NEP 2020 Related to Teacher Training

- **Continuous Professional Development (CPD):** Mandatory 50 hours of annual training for teachers.
- **Integration of Digital Learning:** Use of online platforms like DIKSHA and NISHTHA for teacher training.
- **Multidisciplinary Training:** Emphasis on holistic, competency-based learning approaches.
- **School-Based Training:** Decentralization of teacher training programs to address local needs.
- **Focus on Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN):** Special training for early-grade teachers to enhance foundational learning.

Challenges in Implementing NEP 2020 for In-Service Teacher Training

1. **Infrastructure Gaps:** Lack of digital resources and internet access in rural areas.
2. **Resistance to Change:** Hesitation among educators to adopt new pedagogical methods.
3. **Limited Training Resources:** Inadequate availability of skilled trainers and updated learning materials.
4. **Time Constraints:** Teachers struggle to balance training with regular classroom responsibilities.
5. **Assessment and Monitoring Issues:** Difficulty in tracking the effectiveness of training programs.

Research Methodology

- **Data Collection:** Surveys.
 - **Sample Size:** Teachers from different regions, school types, and experience levels.
-

- **Data Analysis:** Qualitative analysis to evaluate training effectiveness.

Outcomes

- Better understanding of the gaps and strengths in in-service teacher training under NEP 2020.
- Identification of scalable and effective training models.
- Policy recommendations for improving training delivery and teacher engagement.

Conclusion

The implementation of NEP 2020 presents both opportunities and challenges for in-service teacher training. While digital platforms and innovative training methods offer significant potential, addressing infrastructural and pedagogical barriers is crucial. Through targeted interventions and adaptive learning strategies, India can develop a robust professional development ecosystem for educators, ultimately enhancing the quality of education across the country.

References

- Feiman-Nemser, S. (2012). *Teachers as learners: Professional development for new educators*. Harvard Education Press.
- Knight, J. (2007). *Instructional coaching: A partnership approach to improving instruction*. Corwin.
- Wang, J., Odell, S. J., & Clift, R. T. (2010). *Mentoring beginning teachers: Guiding, reflecting, coaching*. Routledge.
- Ingersoll, R. M., & Smith, T. M. (2004). Do teacher induction and mentoring matter? *NASSP Bulletin*, 88(638), 28-40. <https://doi.org/10.1177/019263650408863803>
- Stanulis, R. N., & Floden, B. J. (2009). Intensive mentoring as a way to help beginning teachers develop balanced instruction. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 60(2), 112-122. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487108330553>